# **Uranium-Based Catalysts**

S. H. Overbury, Cyrus Riahi-Nezhad, Zongtao Zhang, Sheng Dai, and Jonathan Haire Oak Ridge National Laboratory\* P.O. Box 2008

Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6166 Tel: (865) 574-7141

Fax: (865) 574-0382 E-mail: hairemj@ornl.gov

Prepared for The American Nuclear Society 2004 Winter Meeting Washington, D.C. November 14–18, 2004

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

\_

<sup>\*</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

## **Uranium-Based Catalysts**

S. H. Overbury, Cyrus Riahi-Nezhad, Zongtao Zhang, Sheng Dai, and Jonathan Haire

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008; Oak Ridge, Tennessee 3781-6166
Tel: (865) 574-6783; E-mail: hairemj@ornl.gov

#### INTRODUCTION

U.S. industry and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) must manage a variety of off-gas wastes consisting of complex and halogenated volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The literature indicates that uranium dioxide has a high activity for the catalytic destruction of VOCs and halogenated VOCs [1]. DOE has a surplus of depleted uranium (DU) inventory for which beneficial uses are being sought [2]. The goal of this research, therefore, was to explore the possibility of tailoring DU-based catalysts for use in the catalytic oxidation of VOCs. One possible application is in the cleanup of emissions from soil vapor extraction wells, which are in use to remove VOCs from groundwater at DOE's Hanford and Savannah River sites.

This research consisted of synthesizing potential DU catalysts, as well as testing and structural characterization. A chemical reactor was built to compare the catalytic performance for oxidation of toluene, chlorobenzene, and tri-chloroethylene (TCE). These VOCs were chosen as typical of those found in anticipated applications. In particular, TCE is a major pollutant found in the groundwater plumes at Hanford. Complete destruction of VOCs that contain chlorine is more difficult and thus represents a more stringent catalyst challenge.

# **APPROACH**

Catalysts were synthesized using a variety of methods, most notably a method that includes templated cosynthesis of mesoporous oxides [3]. This method isolates uranium oxide in a highly dispersed form on a mesoporous oxide support, typically silica or titania. Recently, Hutchings and coworkers have demonstrated that doping uranium oxide with transition metal ions can further enhance the catalytic activity of uranium oxide [1]. This observation prompted us to expand the evaluation of various dopant metal ions as potential catalysis promoters for uranium oxide. Dopants (e.g., Cr, Co, K, Fe, V, Ca) were added to the uranium oxide to improve the catalytic activity; catalyst performance was compared with that of conventional catalysts. The effects of post-synthesis calcination temperatures

were also considered. A plug-flow chemical reactor was constructed and used to measure the conversion of the VOC vs catalyst temperature. These data result in so-called "light-off" curves. Conversion generally increases with temperature. From light-off curves for fixed flow rates, the temperature  $(T_{50})$  at which 50% conversion of the VOC to oxidation products (CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O) occurs is obtained and provides a measure of the catalyst activity. Lower values of T<sub>50</sub> indicate higher activity. A gas chromatograph and a sampling mass spectrometer were used to measure conversion and reaction byproducts. Table I summarizes the results  $(T_{50})$  for several selected catalysts discussed below. X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), and surface area measurements were used to provide information about the phases present in the catalyst.

# RESULTS

- Initial catalysts made at Oak Ridge National Laboratory were urania in a mesoporous silica support, mU-SiO<sub>2</sub>. These catalysts were made by templated coassembly. XRD measurements indicated that the urania is present as U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. TEM measurements indicated that the U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> particles were dispersed in the silica support. Some lessactive catalysts contained a UO<sub>2</sub> phase, suggesting that U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> is the active phase. Pure U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> was found to be less active than mU-SiO<sub>2</sub>. This seeming anomaly is attributed to the very high surface area that can be achieved in the mesoporous silica support, which causes the urania to be highly dispersed both in the pores and on the external surface. Variation in the U:Si ratio indicates that optimal activity for chlorobenzene oxidation is obtained for U:Si = 1:30.
- Doping chromium oxide into a *m*U-SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Cr:U:Si = 0.2:1:20) enhances activity slightly, but only if the chromium oxide is added in cosynthesis. Post-impregnation of chromium yields no improvement compared with *m*U-SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, and without uranium, the Cr-SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is much less active. Cobalt oxide appears to have comparable activity to the uranium oxide, and doping it into *m*U-SiO<sub>2</sub> has little synergistic effect.

TABLE I. Summary of Selected Experimental Results, including BET Surface Area and Light-Off Temperatures ( $T_{50}$ ).

| Catalyst U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | Composition Ratio<br>Pure U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | BET Surface Area (m²/g) 0.1 | Toluene T <sub>50</sub> (EC) 520 | Cl-benzene T <sub>50</sub><br>(EC)<br>N/A | TCE T <sub>50</sub><br>(EC)<br>N/A |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | U:Si = 1:10                                             | N/A                         | 460                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | U:Si = 1:20                                             | 233                         | 410                              | 420                                       | N/A                                |
| m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | U:Si = 1:30                                             | 267                         | N/A                              | 410                                       | N/A                                |
| m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | U:Si = 1:40                                             | 336.7                       | N/A                              | 470                                       | N/A                                |
| m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>                   | U:Si = 1:50                                             | 267                         | N/A                              | 490                                       | N/A                                |
| $m(Cr-U)-SiO_2$                        | U:Cr:Si = 1:0.2:20                                      | 203.8                       | 375                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| imp. Cr / m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>         | U:Cr:Si = 1:0.2:20                                      | 253.4                       | 418                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| m-Cr-SiO <sub>2</sub>                  | Cr:Si = 0.2:20                                          | 207                         | 470                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| $m(\text{Co-U})\text{-SiO}_2$          | U:Co:Si = 1:0.23:20                                     | 242                         | 440                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| imp. Co / m U-SiO <sub>2</sub>         | U:Co:Si = 1:0.23:20                                     | 223                         | 420                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| m-Co-SiO <sub>2</sub>                  | Co:Si = 1:85                                            | 224                         | 420                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| <i>m</i> -TiO <sub>2</sub> (350EC)     | Ti                                                      | 127.81                      | 365                              | 500                                       | N/A                                |
| <i>m</i> -TiO <sub>2</sub> (600EC)     | Ti                                                      | 18.21                       | 425                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| <i>m</i> -TiO <sub>2</sub> (800EC)     | Ti                                                      | 2.95                        | 550                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| <i>m</i> -U-TiO <sub>2</sub> (350EC)   | U:Ti = 1:20                                             | 249.6                       | 330                              | 375                                       | 465                                |
| <i>m</i> -U-TiO <sub>2</sub> (600EC)   | U:Ti = 1:20                                             | 108.62                      | 325                              | 375                                       | 465                                |
| <i>m</i> -TiO <sub>2</sub> (800EC)     | U:Ti = 1:20                                             | 25.14                       | 345                              | 375                                       | 465                                |
| m (U-Ti)-SiO <sub>2</sub>              | U:Ti:Si = 1:1:20                                        | 429                         | 390                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| m (U-Ti)-SiO <sub>2</sub>              | U:Ti:Si = 1:1:20                                        | 341.6                       | 385                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |
| m (U-Ti)-SiO <sub>2</sub>              | U:Ti:Si = 1:1:20                                        | 205.3                       | 380                              | N/A                                       | N/A                                |

- Iron, magnesium, and calcium were tried as dopants. Generally these decreased the activity for chlorobenzene conversion.
- Potassium is used as a promoter for some catalysts. Addition of potassium by cosynthesis to the mU-SiO<sub>2</sub> destroyed the surface area and strongly deactivated the catalysts, regardless of whether potassium was added as a bromide, oxalate, or nitrate salt. Even post-impregnation of high-surface-area mU-SiO<sub>2</sub> with potassium salts caused loss of surface area and activity, indicating that potassium is a strong poison for DU catalysts.
- Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) is also an active catalyst for oxidation, and addition of urania has a synergistic interaction, which leads to a very active catalyst. Using templated cosynthesis of uranium and titanium (U:Ti = 1:20) yields a mesoporous catalyst with a T<sub>50</sub> of 325°C for toluene oxidation. Mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> is not stable at high temperatures, because of crystallization, which leads to mesopore collapse. Interestingly, urania stabilizes the TiO<sub>2</sub> mesoporous framework, leading to much higher surface area and inhibiting deactivation caused by sustained temperatures as high as 800°C. The activity of the mU-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was shown to be stable for days on-line. and its activity is comparable to that of 0.1% Pt-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, mU-TiO<sub>2</sub> is competitive with the more expensive precious metal commercial catalyst.
- Another approach to achieve the Ti-U synergy was to dope a *m*U-SiO<sub>2</sub> sample with titanium in smaller concentrations (U:Ti:Si = 1:1:20). This approach gave catalysts with activities slightly improved compared with *m*U-SiO<sub>2</sub> but not as effective as the *m*U-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. These catalysts were also stable at high temperature.
- The *m*U-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was tested for chlorinated VOCs, which are not readily oxidized even when platinum catalysts are used. This catalyst was active, although higher temperatures were required to obtain comparable conversions. Measured values for T<sub>50</sub> were 325, 375, and 465°C for toluene, chlorobenzene, and TCE, respectively. The only carbon-containing by-product besides CO<sub>2</sub> was benzaldehyde. Conversion to benzaldehyde depended upon temperature but was generally <10%. Since TCE contains a low H:Cl ratio, the chlorine-containing by-product must be Cl<sub>2</sub> or unwanted oxidized forms of chlorine (e.g., carbonyl chloride), rather than the desired HCl. The effect of water on the conversion was tested

because it may promote formation of HCl. This is also important because, in an application for soil vapor extraction, the reactant stream will be saturated in water. Addition of water as high as 7 vol % did not interfere with catalytic conversion and appeared to enhance HCl:Cl<sub>2</sub> product ratios.

The details of this research from October 2000 to July 2003 can be found in Ref. 4.

### **SUMMARY**

A catalyst formulation based upon a mixed Ti-U oxide (Ti:U = 1:20) has been found to be competitive with noble metal catalysts for the catalytic oxidation of VOCs and chlorinated VOCs. It is stable to deactivation and operates effectively in the presence of large amounts of water. With development of methods to cast this catalyst onto honeycomb support, it is anticipated that it could be a suitable catalyst for destruction of VOCs emitted from soil vapor extraction wells or in other applications.

# REFERENCES

- G. J. HUTCHINGS, C. S. HENEGHAN,

   D. HUDSON, and S. H. TAYLOR, "Uranium-Oxide-Based Catalysts for the Destruction of Volatile Chloro-Organic Compounds," *Nature* 384 (28), 341–343 (1996).
- P. R. PRICE, M. J. HAIRE, A. G. CROFF, "Depleted Uranium Uses R&D Program," Waste Management 2001 Symposium, Tucson, Arizona, February 25–March 1, 2001.
- 3. Z. T. ZHANG, M. KONDURU, S. DAI, and S. H. OVERBURY, "Uniform Formation of Uranium Oxide Nanocrystals Inside Ordered Mesoporous Hosts and Their Potential Applications as Oxidative Catalysts," *Chem. Commun.* (20), 2406–2407 (2002).
- S. H. OVERBURY, and S. DAI, Putting Depleted Uranium to Use: A New Class of Uranium-Based Catalysts, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (July 2004, in preparation).